

EU AI Act vs Interim Measures for Generative AI Service Management

Editorial verdict: **asymmetric** — see comparison narrative below.

EU · BINDING REGULATION

EU AI Act

EU-AIA-2024

TREATMENT OF AI IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE

governs

Annex III §6 (high-risk: law enforcement)

Primary source: [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#)

CN · BINDING REGULATION

Interim Measures for Generative AI Service Management

CN-GENAI-2023

TREATMENT OF AI IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE

silent

Internal-government uses excluded from scope

Primary source: [CAC Order No. 15](#)

What this comparison shows

One regime addresses the topic explicitly while the other covers it only implicitly or not at all. This is a likely site of regulatory arbitrage and a candidate for comparative-law follow-up.

Contested question: Does algorithmic risk-assessment reduce or reproduce racial disparities? Empirical literature (ProPublica COMPAS critique vs. industry replication) is unresolved.

Bibliography

1. [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#) — EU AI Act.
2. [CAC Order No. 15](#) — Interim Measures for Generative AI Service Management.
3. [Policy Window — AI in Criminal Justice](#) (cross-jurisdiction topic article with full coverage matrix).