SELECTED DOCUMENTS · TRANSPARENCY OBLIGATIONS

EU AI Act vs Interim Measures for Generative AI Service Management

The selected documents have different catalog classifications. This alone does not establish legal conflict or regulatory arbitrage.

EU · BINDING REGULATION

EU AI Act

EU-AIA-2024

Status recorded: in force. Effective date recorded: 2024-08-01.

Last recorded review: 2026-07-17.

TREATMENT OF TRANSPARENCY OBLIGATIONS

governs

Arts. 13, 50 (transparency obligations)

Primary source: Regulation (EU) 2024/1689

CN · BINDING REGULATION

Interim Measures for Generative AI Service Management

CN-GENAI-2023

Status recorded: in force. Effective date recorded: 2023-08-15.

Last recorded review: 2026-05-24.

TREATMENT OF TRANSPARENCY OBLIGATIONS

conflicts

Art. 4 + Algorithm Recommendation Rules — disclosure to CAC, not public; conflicts with EU public-disclosure model

Primary source: CAC Order No. 15

How to read this comparison

These assessments concern the selected documents, not every law applicable in their jurisdictions. Compare the cited provisions, scope, legal status and dates. Matching categories do not establish matching duties; missing entries do not establish legal gaps.

Question for further analysis: Does transparency disclosure (model cards, training-data summaries) actually reduce bias / misuse / accidents? Selbst & Barocas (2019) argue disclosure ` fairness; regulators assume it helps.

Bibliography

1. Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 — EU AI Act.
2. CAC Order No. 15 — Interim Measures for Generative AI Service Management.
3. Policy Window — Transparency Obligations (cross-jurisdiction topic article with full coverage matrix).

Generated 2026-11-19T01:16:12 UTC. This is not a legal-review date. Presentation corrected 16 November 2026; source records unchanged. See https://policywindow.org/wiki/meta#coverage-correction and https://policywindow.org/wiki/methodology.