

# EU AI Act vs UK Pro-Innovation Approach to AI Regulation (White Paper)

Editorial verdict: **converges** — see comparison narrative below.

EU · BINDING REGULATION

## EU AI Act

EU-AIA-2024

TREATMENT OF CATASTROPHIC & EXISTENTIAL RISK

implicit

Art. 51 + Recital 32 — systemic risk overlaps with but does not fully cover catastrophic-risk framing

Primary source: [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#)

UK · POLICY STATEMENT

## UK Pro-Innovation Approach to AI Regulation (White Paper)

UK-WHITEPAPER-2023

TREATMENT OF CATASTROPHIC & EXISTENTIAL RISK

implicit

AISI remit covers frontier-model evaluation; not in white paper text

Primary source: [CP 815 \(2023\)](#)

## What this comparison shows

The two regimes take the same position. This is a candidate for cross-jurisdictional alignment in industry guidance, voluntary codes, and harmonisation tracks.

**Contested question:** Are current frontier-model capabilities a meaningful contribution to catastrophic-risk probability? Field is split between catastrophic-risk-as-imminent (FLI, CAIS) and catastrophic-risk-as-speculative (Pope et al., Andersson) positions.

## Bibliography

- [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#) — EU AI Act.
- [CP 815 \(2023\)](#) — UK Pro-Innovation Approach to AI Regulation (White Paper).
- [Policy Window — Catastrophic & Existential Risk](#) (cross-jurisdiction topic article with full coverage matrix).