

EU AI Act vs Executive Order 14110 on Safe, Secure, Trustworthy AI

Editorial verdict: **both silent** — see comparison narrative below.

EU · BINDING REGULATION

EU AI Act

EU-AIA-2024

TREATMENT OF DEVELOPMENT-RIGHTS FRAMINGS

silent

EU framework is rights-based but rooted in EU-charter rights, not development-rights doctrine

Primary source: [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#)

US · EXECUTIVE ORDER

Executive Order 14110 on Safe, Secure, Trustworthy AI

US-EO-14110

TREATMENT OF DEVELOPMENT-RIGHTS FRAMINGS

silent

Not in US AI-governance vocabulary

Primary source: [Exec. Order No. 14110, 88 Fed. Reg. 75191 \(Nov. 1, 2023\)](#)

What this comparison shows

Neither instrument addresses this topic. This is a policy lacuna — there is no incumbent canonical position from either regime, so future regulator activity on this topic shapes the entire field.

Contested question: Is development-rights framing compatible with the EU AIA's rights-based framing, or do they conflict on operational decisions (e.g., who can deploy frontier models in developing economies)?

Bibliography

1. [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#) — EU AI Act.
2. [Exec. Order No. 14110, 88 Fed. Reg. 75191 \(Nov. 1, 2023\)](#) — Executive Order 14110 on Safe, Secure, Trustworthy AI.
3. [Policy Window — Development-Rights Framings](#) (cross-jurisdiction topic article with full coverage matrix).