

# EU AI Act vs Executive Order 14110 on Safe, Secure, Trustworthy AI

Editorial verdict: **asymmetric** — see comparison narrative below.

EU · BINDING REGULATION

## EU AI Act

EU-AIA-2024

TREATMENT OF TRANSPARENCY OBLIGATIONS

**governs**

Arts. 13, 50 (transparency obligations)

Primary source: [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#)

US · EXECUTIVE ORDER

## Executive Order 14110 on Safe, Secure, Trustworthy AI

US-EO-14110

TREATMENT OF TRANSPARENCY OBLIGATIONS

**implicit**

§4.2(a)(i) (reporting includes red-team results)

Primary source: [Exec. Order No. 14110, 88 Fed. Reg. 75191 \(Nov. 1, 2023\)](#)

## What this comparison shows

One regime addresses the topic explicitly while the other covers it only implicitly or not at all. This is a likely site of regulatory arbitrage and a candidate for comparative-law follow-up.

**Contested question:** Does transparency disclosure (model cards, training-data summaries) actually reduce bias / misuse / accidents? Selbst & Barocas (2019) argue disclosure ` fairness; regulators assume it helps.

## Bibliography

1. [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#) — EU AI Act.
2. [Exec. Order No. 14110, 88 Fed. Reg. 75191 \(Nov. 1, 2023\)](#) — Executive Order 14110 on Safe, Secure, Trustworthy AI.
3. [Policy Window — Transparency Obligations](#) (cross-jurisdiction topic article with full coverage matrix).